

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/310,596	Applicant(s) LISITSA ET AL.	
	Examiner William C. Vaughn, Jr.	Art Unit 2143	

All Participants:

- (1) William C. Vaughn, Jr.
 (2) Richard P. Dodson, Reg. No. 52,824.

Status of Application: _____

- (3) _____
 (4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 March 2005
Time: Afternoon
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 11, 13, 14, 21, 33, 57, 69, 80, 81 and 85


Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Applicant's representative in regards to the after final amendment and response. The Examiner indicated if language from claims 13, 14 and 85 were incorporated into each of the independent claims as well as the cancellation of claims 13, 14 and 85. The Examiner further indicated that a Terminal Disclaimer would have to be submitted in order to overcome the Double Patenting rejection. The Examiner indicated that after meeting all of these condition, this would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed to the suggestion. Applicant's representative gave authorization for this to be done by Examiner's amendment.